



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/432,272	11/02/1999	TOSHIHISA SARUTA	4947-0086-2	9833

7590 04/09/2003
Lawrence Rosenthal
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038

EXAMINER

NGHIEM, MICHAEL P

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2863

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/432,272

Applicant(s)

SARUTA ET AL.

Examiner

Michael P Nghiem

Art Unit

2863

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael P Nghiem.

(3) _____.

(2) David Schaeffer.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 April 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15, 35 and 99.

Identification of prior art discussed: Murray et al. (US 5,610,635).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 99, Applicants argue that the subject matter of the location of the second memory is shown in Figs. 8 and 19. Claims 1, 15, 35, examiner argues that the ink quantity related information (dynamic) being located before the "other" information (static) is currently not recited in the claims. Applicants may consider amending the claims to incorporate this limitation, which Applicants argue that Murray et al. does not teach

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


MICHAEL NGHIEM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required